

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9

10

CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL
DIVERSITY, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

U.S. BUREAU OF LAND
MANAGEMENT, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:25-cv-00140-JLT-CDB

ORDER ON STIPULATION EXTENDING
MOTION TO DISMISS BRIEFING
DEADLINES

(Doc. 33)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

On February 4, 2025, Plaintiffs Center for Biological Diversity, The Wilderness Society, Friends of the Earth, Sierra Club, and Central California Asthma Collective (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) initiated this action with the filing of a complaint against Defendants U.S. Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”), the BLM Field Manager, Douglas Burgum in his capacity as Secretary of the U.S. Department of the Interior, Joseph Stout in his capacity as California State Director of BLM, and John Hodges in his capacity as the Assistant Field Manager of Minerals of BLM (collectively, “Federal Defendants”), and organizational Defendants Holmes Western Oil Corporation (“Holmes”) and Chevron U.S.A Incorporated (“Chevron”). (Doc. 1).

On April 25, 2025, Federal Defendants and Defendants Chevron and Holmes filed separate motions to dismiss (Docs. 24, 25).

Pending before the Court is the parties’ stipulated request to modify the briefing schedule

1 on the pending motions to dismiss, filed on May 7, 2025. (Doc. 33). The parties represent they
2 stipulate that “Plaintiffs’ response to the pending motions to dismiss and [to] Federal
3 Defendants’ request for judicial notice shall be filed by May 23, 2025, and that Plaintiffs shall
4 file a single combined response of no more than 50 pages.” (*Id.* at 2). The parties further agree
5 that Defendants’ replies in support of their motions shall be filed by June 6, 2025. (*Id.*).

6 The Court finds good cause in the parties’ stipulated representations to grant the stipulated
7 request.

8 The parties are admonished to be as concise as possible in their briefings to the pending
9 motions to dismiss.

10 **Conclusion and Order**

11 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

12 1. Plaintiffs shall file a combined response of no more than 50 pages to the pending
13 motions to dismiss and Federal Defendants’ request for judicial notice by no later than
14 **May 23, 2025**; and

15 2. Defendants shall file any optional replies by no later than **June 6, 2025**.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: May 8, 2025


18

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28